

**MS Research Australia
Peer Review Commentary Report**

**Thank you for agreeing to review this application for funding.
How this form will be used:**

- The Research Management Council, (RMC) MS Research Australia’s Scientific Committee, will use your comments and ratings to assist in the selection of applications to be funded.
- Your comments, **both positive and less positive**, are very useful feedback to the applicant.
- This Peer Review Report, will be passed back the applicant to enable them to provide Rebuttal comments which will also be taken into consideration by the RMC.

- **Rating Guide. See below or otherwise use “E” excellent “G” Good “P” Poor. Please follow directions contained within the individual rating cell.**

1= Poor	4= Good (top 30% internationally)	6= Excellent (10% internationally)
2= Marginal	5= Very good (25% internationally)	7= Outstanding (5% internationally)
3= Fair		

Question number	Peer review comments This section will expand as required.
1	RELEVANCE of the project to multiple sclerosis?
2	SIGNIFICANCE, VALUE and NOVELTY of the hypothesis? Please include any comments in this cell
3	Evidence of preliminary data? Please include any comments in this cell
4	Likelihood of completion of the project within the given time? Please include any comments in this cell
5	Comment on the recent research track record and productivity of the applicants? Please include any comments in this cell
6	In its current form do you feel that this application is fundable?
6a	If this application is not fundable, are there ways that the research could be improved? Please describe in bullet point format.
6b	Even if you think this application is fundable is there ways that the research could be improved? Please describe in bullet point format.
7	Overall rating of the application. Please include any comments in this cell